SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Standard Advisors, LLC and Philip A. Kenner, Plaintiff, Standard Myrick, an individual and DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendant. Standard Advisors, LLC and Philip A. Kenner, an individual and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Cross-Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF AARON MASCARELLA

Scottsdale, Arizona March 9, 2009 10:05 a.m

Reported By: Joanne Williams, RPR, CSR Arizona Certified Reporter No. 50253 25 kind of in transitioning at this time. Diana -- her

22

- 1 only -- Owen was the principal owner of all the accounts.
- 2 And there was a -- It started off with an investment
- 3 management account and then a line of credit secured by
- 4 that investment account. And then they -- Phil then
- 5 brought them to us for financing a lot that they were
- 6 purchasing in San Jose. And that lot was the first that
- 7 we had any business with Diana.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. Since then, in the last month or so, Owen has
- 10 requested that Diana be added to the investment
- 11 management account. And subsequently now we're going to
- 12 change the line of credit to reflect her as an owner.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, with regard to the line of credit
- 14 account, who was authorized to make transfers out of that
- 15 line of credit?
- 16 A. Owen and Phil.
- 17 Q. Was there any limitation on Mr. Kenner's
- 18 ability to transfer money out of the account?
- 19 A. No. It was -- Yes. I'm sorry. It was --
- 20 The proceeds were only to go to Little Isle Ventures,
- 21 Little Isle IV, I think, if I remember correctly. He

- 22 signed -- Owen Nolan signed a bank authorization or an
- 23 authorization letter to the bank authorizing Phil Kenner
- 24 to transfer money from the line of credit into the Little
- 25 Isle IV account.

23

- Q. Okay. And were statements from the Nolans'

 account during the period from 2003 through -- or 2004

 through 2007, were all those statements sent to Mr. Nolan

 or were they sent to Mr. Kenner?
- 5 A. I'm sure we started off sending them to --
- 6 MS. MEACHAM: Lacks foundation.
- 7 THE WITNESS: We would have sent them to
- 8 Phil for sure because he was making the payments. And
- 9 he -- What's the right word I'm looking for? He directed
- 10 us to send those statements directly to Phil. And we
- 11 would have sent payments -- or statements to Owen Nolan
- 12 also based on the address that we had on file. And being
- 13 a hockey player, he would move around quite a bit. I
- 14 wasn't sure of his schedule. So we would send statements
- 15 to the best address that we had. And assuming that they
- 16 didn't come back, we assumed that they were -- it was
- 17 reasonable to expect they were getting there, to Owen
- 18 personally.
- 19 BY MR. MEEKS:

- Q. During the time period from —— From the time
 you opened the Nolan account until the end of 2006, did
 you have conversations with Mr. Nolan concerning the line
 of credit?
- 24 (A.) Conversations I spoke to Owen
- 25 infrequently. I've only had brief conversations with

24

- 1 him. And my guess is that it was only relating to the
- 2 payments, that the payments were being made or not being
- 3 made. There was a few times when the payments were slow,
- 4 that we sent out default letters, which probably -- You
- 5 know, I can't remember every conversation I had with him
- 6 but I assume he might have responded to one of those
- 7 default letters.
- 8 MR. KING: Only if you know.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 10 BY MR. MEEKS:
- 11 Q. Who was the person you primarily dealt with
- 12 with regard to the line of credit for the Nolans?
- 13 A. Phil Kenner.
- 14 Q. What did Mr. Kenner tell you, if anything,
- 15 regarding the Nolans' line of credit?
- 16 A. What's the question?